UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/788,657	02/27/2004	Lei Shao	042390.P16330X	3606
45209 INTEL/BLAKE	7590 06/24/200 ELY		EXAMINER	
1279 OAKMEAD PARKWAY			MURPHY, RHONDA L	
SUNNYVALE, CA 94085-4040			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2616	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/24/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/788,657	SHAO ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	RHONDA MURPHY	2616
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror ute, cause the application to become ABANDON	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>5/2</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is FINAL . Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matters, pr	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 30-44 is/are pending in the applicating 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 30-44 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and are subject to by the Examing 10) The drawing(s) filed on 27 February 2004 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the	rawn from consideration. /or election requirement. ner. are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objecto	•
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached Office	e action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applica iority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date

Application/Control Number: 10/788,657 Page 2

Art Unit: 2616

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. This communication is responsive to the Request for Continued Examination (RCE) filed on 5/21/08. Accordingly, claims 1-29 have been canceled and claims 30-44 are currently pending in this application.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 5/21/08 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 30 – 44 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 5. Claim 30 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lee et al. ("A Space-Frequency Transmitter Diversity Technique for OFDM systems", Globecomm 2000, IEEE Global Telecommunications Conference; November 27, 2000) in view of Giannakis et al. (US 7,224,744).

Regarding claims 30, 35 and 40, Lee teaches a system comprising: a number M of omnidirectional antennas(*TxI* and *TX2* in fig. 2); and a diversity agent, to receive content for transmission via a multicarrier wireless communication channel (*X*(*m*) in fig. 2. It would be inherent to have a receiver to receive the symbol), wherein the received content is a vector of input symbols (s) of size Nc x 1 (pg. 1474, right column, first paragraph), wherein Nc is the number of subcarriers of the multicarrier wireless communication channel (equation (1), Xo(n)-XI*(n)... 'Xn-2(n)-Xn-I*(n) and XI(n)...Xn-2"(n) are interpreted to be corresponding to the number of subcarriers), and to generate a rate-one (equation (1) on pg. 1474), space-frequency code matrix (matrix G2 on pg.

1474) from the received content for transmission on the multicarrier wireless communication channel from at least a subset of the M omnidirectional antennas (*TxI* and *Tx2* in fig. 2).

Lee fails to explicitly disclose dividing the vector of input symbols into a number G of groups to generate subgroups and multiplying at least a subset of the subgroups by a constellation rotation precoder to produce a number G of pre-coded vectors (Vg), wherein successive symbols from the same group transmitted from the same antenna are at a frequency distance that is multiples of NG subcarrier spacings.

However, Giannakis teaches dividing the vector of input symbols into a number G of groups to generate subgroups and multiplying at least a subset of the subgroups by a constellation rotation precoder to produce a number G of pre-coded vectors (Vg) (col.9, lines 1-15; col. 10, lines 15-23), wherein successive symbols from the same group transmitted from the same antenna are at a frequency distance that is multiples of NG subcarrier spacings (col. 10, lines 24-42).

In view of this, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to divide the symbols into groups and multiply by a constellation rotation precoder, in order to maximize the signal level at the antenna.

Regarding claims 31, 36 and 41, the combined system of Lee and Giannakis teach a system according to claim 40. Giannakis further teaches the diversity agent further comprising: a space-frequency encoding element, responsive to the pre-coder element, to divide each of the pre-coded vectors into a number of LM x 1 subvectors, and to

Art Unit: 2616

create an M x M diagonal matrix = Dsg,k = diag{\OTM\times (k-1)+1Sg ,..., \OTM\times kSg }, where k=1...L from the subvectors (col. 9, lines 45-60; col. 10, lines 15-23).

Regarding claims 32, 37 and 42, the combined system of Lee and Giannakis teach a system according to claim 40. Giannakis further teaches a system according to claim 41, wherein the space-frequency encoding element interleaves the L submatrices from the G groups to generate an M x Nc space-frequency matrix (col. 9, lines 32-55).

Regarding claims 33, 38 and 43, Lee teaches a system according to claim 42, wherein the space-frequency matrix provides MNL channel diversity (pg. 1477, section V in Lee.

Two-branch SF-OFDM transmitter diversity), while preserving a code rate of 1 for any number of transmit antenna(s) M, receive antenna(s) N and channel tap(s) L (pg. 1477, section V. Unity coding rate is interpreted as a code rate of 1).

Regarding claims 34, 39 and 44, Lee teaches a system according to claim 40, wherein the space-frequency matrix provides MNL channel diversity (pg. 1477, section V in Lee. Two-branch SF-OFDM transmitter diversity), while preserving a code rate of 1 for any number of transmit antenna(s) M, receive antenna(s) N and channel tap(s) L (pg. 1477, section V. Unity coding rate is interpreted as a code rate of 1).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to RHONDA MURPHY whose telephone number is (571)272-3185. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 9:00 - 5:30pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/788,657 Page 6

Art Unit: 2616

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Firmin Backer can be reached on (571) 272-6703. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Rhonda Murphy Examiner Art Unit 2616

/R. M./ Examiner, Art Unit 2616

/FIRMIN BACKER/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2616